

CS103
FALL 2025



Lecture 17: **Regular Expressions**

First, Some Announcements!

Second Midterm Logistics

- Our second midterm is next **Monday, November 10th**, from **7-10 PM**. Locations vary, but mostly Hewlett 200.
- Topic coverage is primarily lectures 06 – 13 (functions through induction) and PS3 – PS5. Finite automata and onward won't be tested here.
 - Because the material is cumulative, topics from PS1 – PS2 and Lectures 00 – 05 are also fair game.
- Seating assignments will be posted Wednesday evening.
- Kenneth will host an exam review session this Thursday, November 6th, 5-6 PM (room TBD; check Ed).

Preparing for the Exam

- The top skills that will serve you well on this exam:
 - ***Knowing how to set up a proof***. This is a recurring theme across functions, sets, graphs, pigeonhole, and induction.
 - ***Distinguishing between assuming and proving***. This similarly cuts across all of these topics.
 - ***Reading new definitions***. This is at the heart of mathematical reasoning.
 - ***Writing proofs in line with definitions***. Folks often ask about whether they're being rigorous enough. Often "rigorous enough" simply means "following what the definitions say."
- Our personal recommendation: when working through practice problems, pay super extra close attention to these areas.

Preparing for the Exam

- As with the first midterm exam, we've posted a bunch of practice exams on the course website.
 - There are ten practice exams (yes, really!). We realistically don't expect anyone to complete them all. They're there to give you a feeling of what the exam might look like.
- Some general notes on preparing:
 - Q5 and Q6 on PS6, while technically on topics that aren't covered on the midterm, are great practice for the sorts of reasoning you'll need on the exam.
 - **Keep the TAs in the loop when studying.** Ask for feedback on any proofs you write when getting ready for the exam.
 - Don't skip on biological care and maintenance. Exams can be stressful, but please make time for basic things like showering, eating, etc. and for self-care in whatever form that takes for you.
- **You can do this.** Best of luck on the exam!

On to CS103!

Recap from Last Time

Test Your Recall

- A language L is called a **??? language** if there is a DFA for L .

Regular Languages

- A language L is called a ***regular language*** if there is a DFA for L .

Regular Languages

- A language L is called a ***regular language*** if there is a DFA or an NFA for L .

Regular Languages

- A language L is called a **regular language** if there is a DFA or an NFA for L .
- **Theorem:** The following are equivalent:
 - L is a regular language.
 - There is a DFA D where $\mathcal{L}(D) = L$.
 - There is an NFA N where $\mathcal{L}(N) = L$.
- In other words, knowing any one of the above three facts means you know the other two.

Language Concatenation

- If $w \in \Sigma^*$ and $x \in \Sigma^*$, then wx is the **concatenation** of w and x .
- If L_1 and L_2 are languages over Σ , the **concatenation** of L_1 and L_2 is the language L_1L_2 defined as

$$L_1L_2 = \{ x \mid \exists w_1 \in L_1. \exists w_2 \in L_2. x = w_1w_2 \}$$

- Example: if $L_1 = \{ a, ba, bb \}$ and $L_2 = \{ aa, bb \}$, then

$$L_1L_2 = \{ aaa, abb, baaa, babb, bbba, bbbb \}$$

Lots and Lots of Concatenation

- Consider the language $L = \{ \text{aa}, \text{b} \}$
- LL is the set of strings formed by concatenating pairs of strings in L .

$\{ \text{aaaa}, \text{aab}, \text{baa}, \text{bb} \}$

- LLL is the set of strings formed by concatenating triples of strings in L .

$\{ \text{aaaaaaa}, \text{aaaab}, \text{aabaa}, \text{aabb}, \text{baaaa}, \text{baab}, \text{bbaa}, \text{bbb} \}$

- $LLLL$ is the set of strings formed by concatenating quadruples of strings in L .

$\{ \text{aaaaaaaa}, \text{aaaaaab}, \text{aaaabaa}, \text{aaaabb}, \text{aabaaaa}, \text{aabbaab}, \text{aabbaa}, \text{aabbb}, \text{baaaaaa}, \text{baaaab}, \text{baabaa}, \text{baabb}, \text{bbaaaa}, \text{bbaab}, \text{bbbaa}, \text{bbbb} \}$

Language Exponentiation

- We can define what it means to “exponentiate” a language as follows:

$$L^0 = \{\varepsilon\} \qquad L^{n+1} = LL^n$$

- So, for example, $\{ \text{aa}, \text{b} \}^3$ is the language

$$\{ \text{aaaaaa}, \text{aaaab}, \text{aabaa}, \text{aabb}, \\ \text{baaaa}, \text{baab}, \text{bbaa}, \text{bbb} \}$$

The Kleene Star

- An important operation on languages is the ***Kleene Star***, which is defined as

$$L^* = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. w \in L^n \}$$

- Mathematically:

$$w \in L^* \quad \text{iff} \quad \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. w \in L^n$$

- Intuitively, all possible ways of concatenating zero or more strings in L together, possibly with repetition.

The Kleene Star

If $L = \{ \text{a}, \text{bb} \}$, then $L^* = \{$

$\epsilon,$

$\text{a}, \text{bb},$

$\text{aa}, \text{abb}, \text{bba}, \text{bbbb},$

$\text{aaa}, \text{aabb}, \text{abba}, \text{abbbb}, \text{bbaa}, \text{bbabb}, \text{bbbba}, \text{bbbbbb},$

$\dots$

$\}$

Think of L^* as the set of strings you can make if you have a collection of rubber stamps – one for each string in L – and you form every possible string that can be made from those stamps.

A Property of Regular Languages

- ***Theorem:*** If L_1 and L_2 are regular languages over an alphabet Σ , then so are the following languages:
 - $L_1 \cup L_2$
 - $L_1 L_2$
 - L_1^*
- These (and other) properties are called ***??? properties of the regular languages.***

Closure Properties


- ***Theorem:*** If L_1 and L_2 are regular languages over an alphabet Σ , then so are the following languages:
 - $L_1 \cup L_2$
 - $L_1 L_2$
 - L_1^*
- These (and other) properties are called ***closure properties of the regular languages***.

New Stuff!

Another View of Regular Languages

Devices for Articulating Regular Languages

- Finite Automata



- Set (or other Mathematical) Notation

$\{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w\text{'s length is even} \}$

- State Transition Table

	a	b
q_0	q_1	q_1
q_1	q_0	q_0

- New!** Regular Expressions

Devices for Articulating Regular Languages

- Finite Automata



- Set (or other Mathematical) Notation

$\{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w\text{'s length is even} \}$

- State Transition

Note: This one is not unique to regular languages! We can express non-regular languages with set builder notation, as well. In contrast, having a DFA or NFA for a language means it's certainly regular.

- **New!** Regular

Regular Expressions

- ***Regular expressions*** are a way of describing a language via a string representation.
- They're used just about everywhere:
 - They're built into the JavaScript language and used for data validation.
 - They're used in the UNIX grep and flex tools to search files and build compilers.
 - They're employed to clean and scrape data for large-scale analysis projects.
- Conceptually, regular expressions are strings describing how to assemble a larger language out of smaller pieces.

Rethinking Regular Languages


- We currently have several tools for showing a language L is regular:
 - Construct a DFA for L .
 - Construct an NFA for L .
 - Combine several simpler regular languages together via closure properties to form L .
- We have not spoken much of this last idea.

Constructing Regular Languages

- **Idea:** Build up all regular languages as follows:
 - Start with a small set of simple languages we already know to be regular.
 - Using closure properties, combine these simple languages together to form more elaborate languages.
- *This is a bottom-up approach to the regular languages.*

Constructing Regular Languages

- **Idea:** Build up all regular languages as follows:
 - Start with a small set of simple languages we already know
 - Using closure operations on simple languages to elaborate
- *This is a regular language*



Atomic Regular Expressions

- The regular expressions begin with three simple building blocks.
- The symbol $\emptyset$ is a regular expression that represents the empty language $\emptyset$.
- For any $a \in \Sigma$, the symbol a is a regular expression for the language $\{a\}$.
- The symbol ϵ is a regular expression that represents the language $\{\epsilon\}$.
 - **Remember:** $\{\epsilon\} \neq \emptyset!$
 - **Remember:** $\{\epsilon\} \neq \epsilon!$

Compound Regular Expressions

- If R_1 and R_2 are regular expressions, R_1R_2 is a regular expression for the *concatenation* of the languages of R_1 and R_2 .
- If R_1 and R_2 are regular expressions, $R_1 \cup R_2$ is a regular expression for the *union* of the languages of R_1 and R_2 .
- If R is a regular expression, R^* is a regular expression for the *Kleene closure* of the language of R .
- If R is a regular expression, (R) is a regular expression with the same meaning as R .

Operator Precedence

- Here's the operator precedence for regular expressions:

(R)

R^*

R_1R_2

$R_1 \cup R_2$

- So **ab*cUd** is parsed as **((a(b*))c)Ud**

Regular Expression Examples

- The regular expression **trickUtrear** represents the language

{ **trick**, **trear** }.

- The regular expression **booo*** represents the regular language

{ **boo**, **booo**, **boooo**, ... }.

- The regular expression **candy!(candy!)*** represents the regular language

{ **candy!**, **candy!candy!**, **candy!candy!candy!**, ... }.

Regular Expressions, Formally

- The *language of a regular expression* is the language described by that regular expression.
- Formally:
 - $\mathcal{L}(\epsilon) = \{\epsilon\}$
 - $\mathcal{L}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$
 - $\mathcal{L}(a) = \{a\}$
 - $\mathcal{L}(R_1 R_2) = \mathcal{L}(R_1) \mathcal{L}(R_2)$
 - $\mathcal{L}(R_1 \cup R_2) = \mathcal{L}(R_1) \cup \mathcal{L}(R_2)$
 - $\mathcal{L}(R^*) = \mathcal{L}(R)^*$
 - $\mathcal{L}((R)) = \mathcal{L}(R)$

Worthwhile activity: Apply this recursive definition to

$a(b \cup c)((d))$

and see what you get.

Designing Regular Expressions

- Let $\Sigma = \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$.
- Let $L = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ contains } \mathbf{aa} \text{ as a substring} \}$.

Designing Regular Expressions

- Let $\Sigma = \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$.
- Let $L = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ contains } \mathbf{aa} \text{ as a substring} \}$.

$(a \cup b)^*aa(a \cup b)^*$

Designing Regular Expressions

- Let $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$.
- Let $L = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ contains } aa \text{ as a substring} \}$.

$(a \cup b)^*aa(a \cup b)^*$

Designing Regular Expressions

- Let $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$.
- Let $L = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ contains } aa \text{ as a substring} \}$.

$(a \cup b)^*aa(a \cup b)^*$

bbabbbaabab
aaaa
bbbbbabbbbaabbbbb

Designing Regular Expressions

- Let $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$.
- Let $L = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ contains } aa \text{ as a substring} \}$.

$(a \cup b)^*aa(a \cup b)^*$

bbabbb**aa**bab

aaaa

bbbbbbabbbb**aa**bbbbbb

Designing Regular Expressions

- Let $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$.
- Let $L = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ contains } aa \text{ as a substring} \}$.

$\Sigma^*aa\Sigma^*$

bbabbb**aa**bab

aaaa

bbbbbbabbbb**aa**bbbbbb

Designing Regular Expressions

- Let $\Sigma = \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$.
- Let $L = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid |w| = 4 \}$.

Designing Regular Expressions

Let $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$.

Let $L = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid |w| = 4 \}$.

The length of
a string w is
denoted $|w|$

Designing Regular Expressions

- Let $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$.
- Let $L = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid |w| = 4 \}$.

Write a regex for this language.

Answer at

<https://cs103.stanford.edu/pollev>

Designing Regular Expressions

- Let $\Sigma = \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$.
- Let $L = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid |w| = 4 \}$.

$\Sigma\Sigma\Sigma\Sigma$

Designing Regular Expressions

- Let $\Sigma = \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$.
- Let $L = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid |w| = 4 \}$.

$\Sigma\Sigma\Sigma\Sigma$

Designing Regular Expressions

- Let $\Sigma = \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$.
- Let $L = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid |w| = 4 \}$.

$\Sigma\Sigma\Sigma\Sigma$

aaaa
baba
bbbb
baaa

Designing Regular Expressions

- Let $\Sigma = \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$.
- Let $L = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid |w| = 4 \}$.

$\Sigma\Sigma\Sigma\Sigma$

$\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}$

$\mathbf{b}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{a}$

$\mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{b}$

$\mathbf{b}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}$

Designing Regular Expressions

- Let $\Sigma = \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$.
- Let $L = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid |w| = 4 \}$.

Σ^4

aaaa
baba
bbbb
baaa

Designing Regular Expressions

- Let $\Sigma = \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$.
- Let $L = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid |w| = 4 \}$.

Σ^4

aaaa
baba
bbbb
baaa

Designing Regular Expressions

- Let $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$.
- Let $L = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ contains at most one } a \}$.

Here are some candidate regular expressions for the language L . Which of these are correct?

$\Sigma^*a\Sigma^*$
 $b^*ab^* \cup b^*$
 $b^*(a \cup \epsilon)b^*$
 $b^*a^*b^* \cup b^*$
 $b^*(a^* \cup \epsilon)b^*$

Answer at [**https://cs103.stanford.edu/pollev**](https://cs103.stanford.edu/pollev)

Designing Regular Expressions

- Let $\Sigma = \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$.
- Let $L = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ contains at most one } \mathbf{a} \}$.

$$\mathbf{b^*(a \cup \epsilon)b^*}$$

Designing Regular Expressions

- Let $\Sigma = \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$.
- Let $L = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ contains at most one } \mathbf{a} \}$.

$\mathbf{b^*(a \cup \epsilon)b^*}$

Designing Regular Expressions

- Let $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$.
- Let $L = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ contains at most one } a \}$.

$b^*(a \cup \epsilon)b^*$

bbbbabbb

bbbbbb

abbb

a

Designing Regular Expressions

- Let $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$.
- Let $L = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ contains at most one } a \}$.

$b^*(a \cup \epsilon)b^*$

bbbbabbb

bbbbbb

abbb

a

Designing Regular Expressions

- Let $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$.
- Let $L = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ contains at most one } a \}$.

$b^*a?b^*$

bbbbabbb

bbbbbb

abbb

a

A More Elaborate Design

- Let $\Sigma = \{ \text{a}, ., @ \}$, where **a** represents “some letter.”
- Let's make a regex for email addresses.

A More Elaborate Design

- Let $\Sigma = \{ \text{a}, ., @ \}$, where **a** represents “some letter.”
- Let's make a regex for email addresses.

cs103@cs.stanford.edu
first.middle.last@mail.site.org
dot.at@dot.com

A More Elaborate Design

- Let $\Sigma = \{ \text{a}, ., @ \}$, where **a** represents “some letter.”
- Let's make a regex for email addresses.

cs103@cs.stanford.edu
first.middle.last@mail.site.org
dot.at@dot.com

A More Elaborate Design

- Let $\Sigma = \{ \text{a}, ., @ \}$, where **a** represents “some letter.”
- Let's make a regex for email addresses.

aa*

cs103@cs.stanford.edu

first.middle.last@mail.site.org

dot.at@dot.com

A More Elaborate Design

- Let $\Sigma = \{ \text{a}, ., @ \}$, where **a** represents “some letter.”
- Let's make a regex for email addresses.

aa*

cs103@cs.stanford.edu

first.middle.last@mail.site.org

dot.at@dot.com

A More Elaborate Design

- Let $\Sigma = \{ \text{a}, ., @ \}$, where **a** represents “some letter.”
- Let's make a regex for email addresses.

aa* (**.aa***)*

cs103@cs.stanford.edu

first.middle.last@mail.site.org

dot.at@dot.com

A More Elaborate Design

- Let $\Sigma = \{ \text{a}, ., @ \}$, where **a** represents “some letter.”
- Let's make a regex for email addresses.

aa* (.aa*)*

cs103@cs.stanford.edu
first.middle.last@mail.site.org
dot.at@dot.com

A More Elaborate Design

- Let $\Sigma = \{ \text{a}, ., @ \}$, where **a** represents “some letter.”
- Let's make a regex for email addresses.

aa* (.aa*)* @

cs103@cs.stanford.edu
first.middle.last@mail.site.org
dot.at@dot.com

A More Elaborate Design

- Let $\Sigma = \{ \text{a}, ., @ \}$, where **a** represents “some letter.”
- Let's make a regex for email addresses.

aa* (**.aa***)* **@**

cs103**@****cs.stanford.edu**
first.middle.last**@****mail.site.org**
dot.at**@****dot.com**

A More Elaborate Design

- Let $\Sigma = \{ \text{a}, ., @ \}$, where **a** represents “some letter.”
- Let's make a regex for email addresses.

aa* (**.aa***)* **@** aa*.aa*

cs103**@****cs.stanford.edu**
first.middle.last**@****mail.site.org**
dot.at**@****dot.com**

A More Elaborate Design

- Let $\Sigma = \{ \text{a}, ., @ \}$, where **a** represents “some letter.”
- Let's make a regex for email addresses.

aa* (**.aa***)* **@** **aa*.aa***

cs103**@****cs.stanford.edu**
first.middle.last**@****mail.site.org**
dot.at**@****dot.com**

A More Elaborate Design

- Let $\Sigma = \{ \text{a}, ., @ \}$, where **a** represents “some letter.”
- Let's make a regex for email addresses.

aa* (**.aa***)* **@** **aa*.aa*** (**.aa***)*

cs103**@cs.stanford.edu**
first.middle.last**@mail.site.org**
dot.at**@dot.com**

A More Elaborate Design

- Let $\Sigma = \{ \text{a}, ., @ \}$, where **a** represents “some letter.”
- Let's make a regex for email addresses.

aa* (**.aa***)* **@** **aa*.aa*** (**.aa***)*

cs103@cs.stanford.edu
first.middle.last@mail.site.org
dot.at@dot.com

A More Elaborate Design

- Let $\Sigma = \{ \text{a}, ., @ \}$, where **a** represents “some letter.”
- Let's make a regex for email addresses.

a⁺ (.aa*)* @ aa*.aa* (.aa*)*

cs103@cs.stanford.edu
first.middle.last@mail.site.org
dot.at@dot.com

A More Elaborate Design

- Let $\Sigma = \{ \text{a}, ., @ \}$, where **a** represents “some letter.”
- Let's make a regex for email addresses.

a⁺ (**.****aa***)* **@** **aa***.**aa*** (**.****aa***)*

cs103**@****cs**.**stanford**.**edu**
first.**middle**.**last****@****mail**.**site**.**org**
dot.**at****@****dot**.**com**

A More Elaborate Design

- Let $\Sigma = \{ \text{a}, ., @ \}$, where **a** represents “some letter.”
- Let's make a regex for email addresses.

a⁺ (**.****a**⁺)^{*} **@** **a**⁺ **.****a**⁺ (**.****a**⁺)^{*}

cs103**@****cs.stanford.edu**
first.middle.last**@****mail.site.org**
dot.at**@****dot.com**

A More Elaborate Design

- Let $\Sigma = \{ \text{a}, ., @ \}$, where **a** represents “some letter.”
- Let's make a regex for email addresses.

a⁺ (**.****a**⁺)^{*} **@** **a**⁺ **.****a**⁺ (**.****a**⁺)^{*}

cs103**@****cs.stanford.edu**
first.middle.last**@****mail.site.org**
dot.at**@****dot.com**

A More Elaborate Design

- Let $\Sigma = \{ \text{a}, ., @ \}$, where **a** represents “some letter.”
- Let's make a regex for email addresses.

a⁺ **(.a**⁺**)**^{*} **@** **a**⁺ **(.a**⁺**)**^{*}

cs103**@****cs.stanford.edu**
first.middle.last**@****mail.site.org**
dot.at**@****dot.com**

A More Elaborate Design

- Let $\Sigma = \{ \text{a}, ., @ \}$, where **a** represents “some letter.”
- Let's make a regex for email addresses.

a⁺ (**.****a**⁺)^{*} **@** **a**⁺ **.****a**⁺ (**.****a**⁺)^{*}

cs103**@cs**.stanford.edu
first**.****middle****.****last****@mail**.site.org
dot**.****at****@dot**.com

A More Elaborate Design

- Let $\Sigma = \{ \text{a}, ., @ \}$, where **a** represents “some letter.”
- Let's make a regex for email addresses.

a⁺ **(.a**⁺**)**^{*} **@** **a**⁺ **(.a**⁺**)**⁺

cs103**@cs**.stanford.edu
first.**middle**.**last****@mail**.site.org
dot.**at****@dot**.com

A More Elaborate Design

- Let $\Sigma = \{ \text{a}, ., @ \}$, where **a** represents “some letter.”
- Let's make a regex for email addresses.

a⁺ (**.****a**⁺)^{*} **@** **a**⁺ (**.****a**⁺)⁺

cs103**@cs**.stanford.edu
first.**middle**.**last****@mail**.site.org
dot.**at****@dot**.com

A More Elaborate Design


- Let $\Sigma = \{ \text{a}, ., @ \}$, where **a** represents “some letter.”
- Let's make a regex for email addresses.

a⁺ (**.****a**⁺)^{*} **@** **a**⁺ (**.****a**⁺)⁺

cs103**@cs**.stanford.edu
first.**middle**.**last****@mail**.site.org
dot.**at****@dot**.com

For Comparison

$a^+ (.a^+) * @a^+ (.a^+)^+$



Shorthand Summary

- R^n is shorthand for $RR \dots R$ (n times).
 - Edge case: define $R^0 = \varepsilon$.
- Σ is shorthand for “any character in Σ .”
- $R?$ is shorthand for $(R \cup \varepsilon)$, meaning “zero or one copies of R .”
- R^+ is shorthand for RR^* , meaning “one or more copies of R .”

The Lay of the Land

Languages you can
build a DFA for.

Languages you can
build an NFA for.

***Regular
Languages***

```
graph TD; A[Languages you can build a DFA for.] --> C((Regular Languages)); B[Languages you can build an NFA for.] --> C;
```


The diagram consists of a central light blue oval with a black border containing the text ***Regular Languages***. Two rectangular boxes with black borders are positioned above the oval. The left box contains the text "Languages you can build a DFA for." and the right box contains "Languages you can build an NFA for.". Both boxes have a grey drop shadow. A blue curved arrow points from the bottom of the left box to the top-left edge of the oval. Another blue curved arrow points from the bottom of the right box to the top-right edge of the oval.

Languages you can
build a DFA for.

Languages you can
build an NFA for.

Regular Languages

Languages You Can
Write a Regex For




Languages you can
build a DFA for.

Languages you can
build an NFA for.

***Regular
Languages***

Languages You Can
Write a Regex For



Languages you can
build a DFA for.

Languages you can
build an NFA for.

The diagram consists of a large light blue oval in the center containing the text **Regular Languages**. Two blue arrows point from rectangular boxes at the top towards this central oval. The left box contains the text 'Languages you can build a DFA for.' and the right box contains 'Languages you can build an NFA for.'. To the bottom right of the central oval is a smaller yellow oval that overlaps with the bottom right edge of the blue oval. This yellow oval contains the text 'Languages You Can Write a Regex For'.

Regular Languages


Languages You Can
Write a Regex For

Languages you can
build a DFA for.

Languages you can
build an NFA for.

Regular Languages

Languages You Can
Write a Regex For





Languages you can
build a DFA for.

Languages you can
build an NFA for.

Regular Languages

Languages You Can
Write a Regex For



The Power of Regular Expressions

Theorem: If R is a regular expression, then $\mathcal{L}(R)$ is regular.

Proof idea: Use induction!

- The atomic regular expressions all represent regular languages.
- The combination steps represent closure properties.
- So anything you can make from them must be regular!

Thompson's Algorithm

- In practice, many regex matchers use an algorithm called ***Thompson's algorithm*** to convert regular expressions into NFAs (and, from there, to DFAs).
 - Read Sipser if you're curious!
- ***Fun fact:*** the “Thompson” here is Ken Thompson, one of the co-inventors of Unix!

Languages you can
build a DFA for.

Languages you can
build an NFA for.

***Regular
Languages***

```
graph TD; A[Languages you can build a DFA for.] --> C((Regular Languages)); B[Languages you can build an NFA for.] --> C;
```

The diagram consists of a central light blue oval with a black border containing the text ***Regular Languages***. Two rectangular boxes with black borders are positioned above the oval. The left box contains the text "Languages you can build a DFA for." and the right box contains "Languages you can build an NFA for.". Both boxes have a grey drop shadow. Blue curved arrows point from the bottom of each box to the top edge of the central oval.

Languages you can
build a DFA for.

Languages you can
build an NFA for.

The diagram consists of a large light blue oval in the center. Inside this oval is a smaller yellow oval. Two blue arrows point from rectangular boxes at the top towards the large oval. The left box contains the text 'Languages you can build a DFA for.' and the right box contains 'Languages you can build an NFA for.' The large oval is labeled 'Regular Languages' in bold italicized black font. The inner yellow oval is labeled 'Languages You Can Write a Regex For' in black font.

Regular Languages



Languages You Can
Write a Regex For

Languages you can
build a DFA for.

Languages you can
build an NFA for.

Regular Languages

Languages You Can
Write a Regex For




The Power of Regular Expressions

Theorem: If L is a regular language, then there is a regular expression for L .


This is not obvious!

Proof idea: Show how to convert an arbitrary NFA into a regular expression.


Generalizing NFAs



Generalizing NFAs




Generalizing NFAs




These are all regular expressions!

Generalizing NFAs





Generalizing NFAs





Note: Actual NFAs aren't allowed to have transitions like these. This is just a thought experiment.



Generalizing NFAs





Generalizing NFAs





Generalizing NFAs





Generalizing NFAs





Generalizing NFAs





Generalizing NFAs




Generalizing NFAs



Generalizing NFAs



Generalizing NFAs



Key Idea 1: Imagine that we can label transitions in an NFA with arbitrary regular expressions.

Generalizing NFAs




Generalizing NFAs




Is there a simple
regular expression for
the language of this
generalized NFA?

Generalizing NFAs




Is there a simple
regular expression for
the language of this
generalized NFA?

Generalizing NFAs




Generalizing NFAs



Is there a simple
regular expression for
the language of this
generalized NFA?

Generalizing NFAs




Is there a simple
regular expression for
the language of this
generalized NFA?

Key Idea 2: If we can convert an NFA into a generalized NFA that looks like this...




...then we can easily read off a regular expression for the original NFA.

From NFAs to Regular Expressions




From NFAs to Regular Expressions




Here, R_{11} , R_{12} , R_{21} , and R_{22} are arbitrary regular expressions.

From NFAs to Regular Expressions




Question: Can we get a clean regular expression from this NFA?


From NFAs to Regular Expressions



Key Idea 3: Somehow transform this NFA so that it looks like this:




From NFAs to Regular Expressions




The first step is going to be a bit weird...


From NFAs to Regular Expressions




From NFAs to Regular Expressions




From NFAs to Regular Expressions



From NFAs to Regular Expressions




From NFAs to Regular Expressions




Could we eliminate
this state from
the NFA?


From NFAs to Regular Expressions



From NFAs to Regular Expressions




From NFAs to Regular Expressions




Note: We're using
concatenation and
Kleene closure in order
to skip this state.


From NFAs to Regular Expressions




From NFAs to Regular Expressions




From NFAs to Regular Expressions




From NFAs to Regular Expressions




From NFAs to Regular Expressions




From NFAs to Regular Expressions



From NFAs to Regular Expressions




From NFAs to Regular Expressions




Note: We're using **union** to combine these transitions together.


From NFAs to Regular Expressions




From NFAs to Regular Expressions



From NFAs to Regular Expressions




From NFAs to Regular Expressions



From NFAs to Regular Expressions


What should we put on this transition?




Answer at

<https://cs103.stanford.edu/pollev>


From NFAs to Regular Expressions




From NFAs to Regular Expressions




From NFAs to Regular Expressions




From NFAs to Regular Expressions




From NFAs to Regular Expressions





From NFAs to Regular Expressions



From NFAs to Regular Expressions



From NFAs to Regular Expressions




The State-Elimination Algorithm

- Start with an NFA N for the language L .
- Add a new start state q_s and accept state q_f to the NFA.
 - Add an ε -transition from q_s to the old start state of N .
 - Add ε -transitions from each accepting state of N to q_f , then mark them as not accepting.
- Repeatedly remove states other than q_s and q_f from the NFA by “shortcutting” them until only two states remain: q_s and q_f .
- The transition from q_s to q_f is then a regular expression for the NFA.

The State-Elimination Algorithm

- To eliminate a state q from the automaton, do the following for each pair of states q_0 and q_1 , where there's a transition from q_0 into q and a transition from q into q_1 :
 - Let R_{in} be the regex on the transition from q_0 to q .
 - Let R_{out} be the regex on the transition from q to q_1 .
 - If there is a regular expression R_{stay} on a transition from q to itself, add a new transition from q_0 to q_1 labeled $((R_{in})(R_{stay})^*(R_{out}))$.
 - If there isn't, add a new transition from q_0 to q_1 labeled $((R_{in})(R_{out}))$
- If a pair of states has multiple transitions between them labeled $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k$, replace them with a single transition labeled $R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \dots \cup R_k$.

Our Transformations



Theorem: The following are all equivalent:

- L is a regular language.
- There is a DFA D such that $\mathcal{L}(D) = L$.
- There is an NFA N such that $\mathcal{L}(N) = L$.
- There is a regular expression R such that $\mathcal{L}(R) = L$.

Why This Matters

- The equivalence of regular expressions and finite automata has practical relevance.
 - Regular expression matchers have all the power available to them of DFAs and NFAs.
- This also is hugely theoretically significant: the regular languages can be assembled “from scratch” using a small number of operations!

Your Action Items

- ***Read “Guide to Regexes”***
 - There’s a lot of information and advice there about how to write regular expressions, plus a bunch of worked exercises.
- ***Read “Guide to State Elimination”***
 - It’s a beautiful algorithm. The Guide goes into a lot more detail than what we did here.

Next Time

- ***Intuiting Regular Languages***
 - What makes a language regular?
- ***The Myhill-Nerode Theorem***
 - The limits of regular languages.